



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,628	02/05/2004	Ray Vincent	284-23258-USD	5647	
24923	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER		
PAUL S MADAN			KRECK, JOHN J		
MADAN, MOSSMAN & SRIRAM, PC 2603 AUGUSTA, SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TX 77057-1130		3673	<del></del>	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/200	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
<b></b>	10/772,628	VINCENT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Kreck	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. It he mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) □ Claim(s) 1,2 and 11-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5) □ Claim(s) 2 and 11-16 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) □ Claim(s) 1 and 17-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 February 2004 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority docum	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachmant/a)			
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		2)

Art Unit: 3673

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plug seal between the production valve and the point of well fluid entry into the production tubing must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the

Application/Control Number: 10/772,628

Art Unit: 3673

will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by White (U.S. Patent number 2,083,625)

White teaches the positioning production tubing; cementing above the production zone (e.g. at 34); purging all cement; and opening the internal bore by fluid displacement (by displacement of plug 28- see fig 8) as called for in claim 1.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brandell, et al. (U.S. Patent number 5,117,910).

Brandell teaches the positioning production tubing; cementing above the production zone; purging all cement; and opening the internal bore by fluid displacement as called for in claim 1.

4. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker (U.S. Patent number 3,948,322).

Art Unit: 3673

Baker teaches the positioning, inflating, pumping, and closing as called for in claim 17.

Baker also teaches the disposing a plug and increasing pressure as called for in claim 18.

Baker also teaches the delivering a plug as called for in claim 19.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandell, et al. in view of Baker (U.S. Patent number 3,948,322).

Brandell teaches the positioning tubing, pumping cement, and closing a cementing valve. Brandell shows a packer 94), but fails to teach the inflating the packer.

Baker teaches a similar process, and uses an inflatable packer; thus teaching inflating a packer. The inflatable packer provides a better seal.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Brandell process to have included inflating the packer as called for in claim 17, since inflatable packers provide better seals. Art Unit: 3673

6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandell and Baker as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Richard, et al. (U.S. Patent number 5,598,890).

Brandell fails to teach the increasing pressure to open a production valve.

Richard teaches a similar process, and also teaches that a production valve is useful to prevent contamination of production pathways. Richard further teaches that the valve is opened with a shifting tool using known methods.

Official notice is taken of the fact that increasing fluid pressure is a known method of opening a valve with a shifting tool.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have further modified the Brandell process to have included increasing pressure to open production valve as called for in claim 20, since use of a production valve prevents contamination of production pathways.

#### 7. Claims 2 and 11-16 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kreck whose telephone number is (703)308-2725. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am - 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703)308-2978.

RIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 3673

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Kreck Examiner Art Unit 3673

JJK